

Abstract Submission

14. Myeloma and other monoclonal gammopathies - Clinical

EHA-3640

MULTIPLE MYELOMA BRAZILIAN REGISTER- HOW ABOUT THE TRANSPLANT ELIGIBLE PATIENTS?

Edvan Crusoe*^{1,2}, Glaciano Ribeiro³, Karla Zanella⁴, Leila Perobelli⁵, Joao Carlos Saraiva Filho⁶, Roberto Magalhaes⁷, ROSane Bittencourt⁸, Emanuella Souza⁹, Renato Centrone¹⁰, Nelson Hamerschlak¹¹, Rafael Gaiolla¹², Breno Gusmao¹³, Fabiana Hlgashi¹⁴, James Farley¹⁵, Marcelo Capra¹⁶, Walter Braga¹⁷, Abrahao Hallack Neto¹⁸, Jorge Vaz P Neto¹⁹, Caroline Bonamin²⁰, Cleder Silva²¹, Joao T Souto Filho²², Gracia Martinez²³, Ederson Mattos²⁴, Nelson Castro²⁵, LUiza da Silva²⁶, Virgilio Farnese²⁷, Renato Tavares²⁸, Jandey Bigonha²⁹, Juliana Lima³⁰, Eduardo Ribeiro³¹, Rafael Cunha³², Fabio Nucci³³, Manuella Almeida³⁴, Lafayett Cruz³⁵, Angelo Maiolino⁷, Vania Hungria³⁶

¹Hematology and Bone Marrow Transplantation, Federal University of Bahia- University hospital, ²Rede D'or Oncologia, Salvador, ³Clínica Hematologica, Belo Horizonte, ⁴Clinica Viver- CEPHON, Florianopolis, ⁵Hospital de Transplantes Euryclides de Jesus Zerbini, Sao Paulo, ⁶Hospital Ophir Loyola, Belem, ⁷Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, ⁸, University Hospital of the Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, ⁹Hospital das CLínicas UFMG, Belo Horizonte, ¹⁰Instituto Hemomed, ¹¹Hospital ALbert Einstein, sao paulo, ¹²Hospital Das Clínicas UNESP, Botucatu, ¹³Beneficiencia Portuguesa, ¹⁴Irmadade Santa Casa de Misericordia de Sao Paulo, Sao Paulo, ¹⁵Liga Norte-Riograndense Contra o Câncer, Natal, ¹⁶Hospital do Cancer Mae de Deus, Porto Alegre, ¹⁷Hospital Sao Paulo-UNIFESP, Sao Paulo, ¹⁸Universidade Federal de Juiz de Fora, Juiz de Fora, ¹⁹CETTRO, Brasilia, ²⁰HC - UFPR, curitiba, ²¹IPSEMG, Belo Horizonte, ²²Faculdade de Medicina de Campos, Campos de Goytacazes, ²³Hospital das Clinicas da Faculdade Medicina da USP, sao paulo, ²⁴Fundação Hospital Amaral Carvalho, Jau, ²⁵Hospital de CAnceR de Barretos, Barretos, ²⁶Federal University of Alagoas, Maceio, ²⁷; Federal University of Uberlandia, Uberlandia, ²⁸Hospital das CLínicas da UFG, Goiais, ²⁹Faculdade de Medicina do ABC, Santo Andre, ³⁰Instituto de Oncologia e Hematologia de Curitiba, Curitiba, ³¹Hospital Santa Lúcia Centro, Brasilia, ³²Oncoclinica RJ, Rio de Janeiro, ³³Hospital Universitário Antônio Pedro, Niteroi, ³⁴Santa Marcelina, Sao Paulo, ³⁵HOSPITAL NAPOLEÃO LAUREANO, Joao Pessoa, ³⁶Clinica Sao Germano, Sao Paulo, Brazil

Background: The Brazilian Multiple Myeloma Group (GBRAM) developed an electronic database platform with the intention of prospectively registering the multiple myeloma (MM) cases diagnosed in the Brazilian healthcare system and analyzing the disease characteristics, treatments patterns and the outcomes.

Aims: Describe characteristics, treatment and outcomes from MM transplant eligible patients belonging to GBRAM prospective database platform.

Methods: This is a prospective, multicenter data-based platform. This analysis was focused on the MM transplant eligible patients (TE). The MM patients diagnosed after January 1, 2018 have been included. The eligibility criteria were: intent-to-treat (ITT) MM patients, aged over 18 years and under care in any healthcare system (private and public). All clinical and laboratory data, prognostic profiling, treatment patterns and responses, adverse events and survival were compiled. The data were analyzed with the NCSS® 2020 software. This project is registered in the Brazilian study platform (CAAE-05340918.3.1001.8098).

Results: A total of 915 patients were registered with transplant intent-to-treat. The median age was 59 (25 - 80) years and 475 (51.9%) were male. A total of 413 (45.1%) of the patients were nonwhite. According to the (ECOG) rating at diagnosis: 0 = 217 (32.9%), 1 = 222 (33.7%), 2 = 110 (17.6%), 3 = 71 (10.85%) and 4 = 39 (5.9%). The ISS 1, 2, and 3 were 209 (25.7%), 228 (28.1%) and 303 (37.2%), respectively, and 72 (8.9%) not available (NA). The respective isotypes were: 466 (52.5%) IgG, 168 (18.9%) IgA, 158 (17.8%) free-light chain, 15(1.7%) non-secretor and 68 (7.7%) NA. Stratifying the intent-to-treat TE patients according to healthcare system, 178 (19.5%) and 737 (80.5%) were from the private and public systems, respectively. Among the patients who underwent the transplant, 89 (66.4%) belonged to the private system and 154 (32.6%), the public. The induction chemotherapy treatments in the public system were: 366

(59%) based on thalidomide, 226 (36.5%) based on bortezomib and 21 (3.4%) based on daratumumab. In the private system the induction treatments were: 7 (4.2%) based on thalidomide, 135 (75.8%) based on bortezomib and 31 (18.8%) based on daratumumab. After a median follow-up of 18.4 months, the complete response (RC) rate after transplant was 13.5% for the public and 28.7% for the private system, respectively ($p < 0.01$).

Summary/Conclusion: This prospective register enrolled patients diagnosed since January 2018 and is of a nationwide scope. Our register, in addition to identifying the epidemiological characteristics of the Brazilian multiple myeloma patients, demonstrates the treatment standards at the public and private institutions. Patients eligible for transplant have greater access to the procedure at private institutions than at public ones ($p < 0.001$), as well as to better chemotherapy induction protocols. The complete remission (CR) rate following transplant was greater in the private system than in the public and, with a better follow-up, it will be possible to demonstrate the impact of the treatments on the survival in patients eligible for transplant.

Keywords: Clinical data, Epidemiology, Multiple myeloma